

## REMARKS

### I. Introduction

In response to the notice of non-compliant amendment (37 C.F.R. §1.121) dated November 16, 2007, Applicants submit herein corrected sections of: (1) the amendments to the specification; and (2) the amendments to the claims.

The amendments to the specification address the concerns articulated in the notice of non-compliant amendment dated November 16, 2007. In making these amendments, Applicants note that the paragraph numbering of the application published by the U.S.P.T.O. (US 2004-0106141) overlaps with, but is not identical to, the paragraph numbering of the application as filed. Applicants believe that the similar but not identical paragraph numbering in the original and U.S.P.T.O. versions of Applicants specification prompted the Examiner's comments in the first paragraph on the continuation sheet of the notice of non-compliant amendment. Consequently in this response, Applicants refer to the paragraph numbering of the application paragraphs as originally filed and verify these amendments.

In this context, Applicants note that while "rapamycin" was correctly spelled in paragraph [0009] as originally filed, this term is misspelled in paragraph [0009] of the application published by the U.S.P.T.O. (US 2004-0106141). Correction of this U.S.P.T.O. error is respectfully requested. In addition, as indicated above, the Examiner is correct in noting that Applicants intended to replace paragraph [0052] and not [0054]. Finally, Applicants note that the underlining in paragraph [0085] associated with the Kozbor reference (i.e. "(Kozbor, J. Immunol., 133:3001 (1984); Brodeur et al, Monoclonal Antibody Production Techniques and Applications, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, (1987) pp. 51-63") is found in the application as originally filed.

Applicants thank the Examiner for noting the typographical error in claim 6 as included in the Amendment under 37 CFR 1.111 filed August 23, 2007. The amendments to claims 6, 15 and 20 above show each and every change that has been made relative to the immediate prior version.

Re-examination and re-consideration of the application, is requested.

### II. Conclusion

In view of the above, it is submitted that this application is now in good order for allowance and such allowance is respectfully solicited. Should the Examiner believe minor matters still remain that


can be resolved in a telephone interview, the Examiner is urged to call Applicants' undersigned attorney.

Respectfully submitted,

GATES & COOPER LLP  
Attorneys for Applicant(s)

Howard Hughes Center  
6701 Center Drive West, Suite 1050  
Los Angeles, California 90045  
(310) 641-8797

Date: December 1, 2008

By:   
Name: William J. Wood  
Reg. No.: 42,236

WJW/sjm

G&C 30435.148-US-U1